

UUKi UK-France Science, Innovation and Technology pump-priming scheme – call 2 - selection criteria

Applications will be scored out of 20 and judged against the criteria set out below.

1. Strategic partnership fit		2. Project fit		3. Deliverability		4. Alignment with Horizon Europe priorities	
Key criteria	Scoring (out of 5)	Key criteria	Scoring (out of 5)	Key criteria	Scoring (out of 5)	Key criteria	Scoring (out of 5)
<p>Demonstrates existing levels of communications to establish new or recently formed partnership including future planning OR demonstrates clear evidence of plans for how to revive an historically established partnership.</p> <p>Clearly shows why the partner institution is suitable and outlines their contributions of expertise and resources. Further explains how the funding would enable additional partnership activity.</p> <p>Highlights mutual commitment to a specific Horizon Europe call and long-term collaboration to foster innovation and research impact.</p>	<p>5 points: Meets all criteria to an exceptional level</p> <p>4 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a very high level</p> <p>3 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a high level</p> <p>2 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to an adequate level</p> <p>1 point: Meets some of the criteria to an adequate level</p>	<p>Clearly articulates the aim of the project and the specific objectives in relation to the call priorities.</p> <p>Focuses on one of the four key priority areas (energy transition and hydrogen, Space and Earth observation, Artificial intelligence including ethics, and Quantum) and supports UK-wide research and innovation priorities.</p>	<p>5 points: Meets all criteria to an exceptional level</p> <p>4 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a very high level</p> <p>3 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a high level</p> <p>2 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to an adequate level</p> <p>1 point: Meets some of the criteria to an adequate level</p>	<p>Provides a clear and concise project timeframe.</p> <p>Demonstrates the ability to deliver the project in a timely manner with necessary provisions in place.</p> <p>Demonstrates that the project is feasible within the timeframe.</p> <p>Demonstrates that the delivery plan has been discussed/developed with the French partner.</p>	<p>5 points: Meets all criteria to an exceptional level</p> <p>4 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a very high level</p> <p>3 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a high level</p> <p>2 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to an adequate level</p> <p>1 point: Meets some of the criteria to an adequate level</p>	<p>Clearly demonstrates specific calls and clusters which have been identified alongside the French partner.</p> <p>Clearly shows how the proposed project aligns with the Horizon Europe funding priorities (e.g. pillars and areas within), and how it will be suitable for submission to a specific Horizon Europe call.</p> <p>Explains how the project will support the institution's ability to meet these priorities.</p> <p>Describes a plan for measuring project impact.</p> <p>Explains how the project will enhance the institution's engagement with Horizon Europe and support institutional and UK-wide research goals.</p> <p>Demonstrates the commitment and ability of the organisations to work together to create a successful Horizon Europe application.</p>	<p>5 points: Meets all criteria to an exceptional level</p> <p>4 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a very high level</p> <p>3 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a high level</p> <p>2 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to an adequate level</p> <p>1 point: Meets some of the criteria to an adequate level</p>