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Annexe A: Methodology

UUK has used mixed methods to inform the development of this guidance, which has been structured using a ‘challenge-based’ approach that addresses issues alongside suggesting practical solutions.

- A desk-based review of existing literature and government policies across the UK.
- Establishment of an advisory group, staff and student panels, and student focus groups in Scotland to support the development of the guidance and ensure it is informed by voices with lived experience of racial harassment.
- Recruitment of independent experts to provide extra challenge and scrutiny.
- Call for case studies from UUK members to gather examples of ‘emerging practice’ from across the higher education sector.

Annexe B: Terminology

This section defines terms used in this guidance, as well as other key terms used to describe race and ethnicity in the UK and internationally. Language is constantly evolving and good practice suggests that universities involve staff and students when determining which terms to use. This will ensure that terms used are appropriate, demonstrate awareness of the sensitivities and complexities involved, and underpinned by a strong rationale.

UUK recognises the importance of allowing individuals to define their own experience and self-identify using the terms they prefer. We also acknowledge the challenges with any language that seeks to homogenise large, diverse groups of people. Where possible, when referring to an individual or group it is preferable to refer to their specific ethnicity (e.g. Indian, Chinese) rather than using umbrella terms such as ‘BAME’.

In this guidance, we have tried to avoid the term ‘BAME’ where possible, and do not use it to describe individuals. However, on occasion, we do use the term when speaking about groups comprised of multiple ethnicities. This is to provide some consistency in published research when speaking generally about large groups, while recognising the limitations of the term.

Allyship

The term ‘allyship’ has been used in a range of contexts, including to support LGBT+ and disabled communities.
Although there is no single, widely-accepted definition, ‘allyship’ refers to the continued acts of being an ally. An ally is a person who is not directly affected by a particular kind of discrimination (e.g. a white person fighting racism, a straight person fighting homophobia) but is invested in proactively supporting anti-discrimination efforts. A white ally may, for example, use their position as a white person to challenge racist behaviours, microaggressions, or banter, raise objections about policies that may exacerbate racial inequalities, and help to amplify the voices of those experiencing racism. An ally works alongside those experiencing racism and takes guidance from them, rather than centering themselves.

**Anti-racism**

The active process of identifying and eliminating racism by changing systems, organizational structures, policies and practices and attitudes, so that power is redistributed and shared equitably.

Anti-racism acknowledges there is no ‘neutral’ position on racism.

**Antisemitism**

Offensive actions or statements fuelled by prejudice or stereotyping of Jewish people.

The UK government has adopted the following definition of antisemitism (the ‘IHRA definition’) and has requested that universities do the same.

‘Antisemitism is a certain perception of Jews, which may be expressed as hatred toward Jews. Rhetorical and physical manifestations of antisemitism are directed toward Jewish or non-Jewish individuals and/or their property, toward Jewish community institutions and religious facilities’.

**BAME**

An acronym for ‘Black, Asian and minority ethnic’ that is used to refer to individuals from these ethnic backgrounds. The term is commonly used by the UK government and other public bodies in the UK.

The acronym BME (‘Black and minority ethnic’) is used in a similar way.

There are limitations to this term, particularly that it is reductive, assumes that minority ethnic individuals are a homogenous group, and fails to account for significant differences between ethnic groups. It is also important to recognise
that terms such as ‘BAME’ are often labels placed upon groups, rather than identities with which the groups themselves have chosen to identify.

**Black (as a political definition)**

Some groups use the term ‘Black’ in a broad, political sense to refer to people in Britain who have shared histories of oppression and continue to experience racism and diminished opportunities in today’s society. These people are not necessarily solely from Black ethnic groups.

**Ethnicity**

A 1983 House of Lords decision in the case of Mandla-vs Dowell Lee defined an ‘ethnic group’ as having the following features:

- a long shared history of which the group is conscious as distinguishing it from other groups and the memory of which it keeps alive
- a cultural tradition of its own including family and social manners, often but not necessarily associated with religious observance
- a common, however distant, geographical origin
- a common language and literature

It is therefore important to distinguish the term ‘ethnicity’ or ‘ethnic origin’ from the term ‘race’.

Everyone belongs to an ethnic group and has an ethnicity. Categorisations used by the UK government such as ‘White British’, ‘Black Caribbean’ or ‘Bangladeshi’ refer to ethnic groups.

**Ethnic minority and minority ethnic**

Both these terms refer to an ethnic group that is in the minority in a society.

‘Ethnic minority’ places the emphasis on ethnicity as the main issue. There can be a tendency in the UK to see ‘ethnic’ as synonymous with not-white\(^v\) (e.g. ‘ethnic shops’), and so the term could be perceived as implying the issue is with people not being white. As a consequence, the term tends to be reversed to refer to ‘minority ethnic groups’, to highlight the fact that everyone has an ethnicity and the issues being referred to relate to groups that are in the minority in the context of UK society\(^vi\).
Harassment

As defined by the Equality Act 2010, harassment is unwanted conduct related to a relevant protected characteristic (including race) that has the ‘purpose or effect’ of either violating dignity, or creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment. Therefore, behaviour may constitute harassment even if this is unintended by the perpetrator, provided it has the ‘effect’ of violating dignity or creating an offensive environment.

Institutional racism

As defined by the Macpherson report, institutional racism is the collective failure of an organization to provide an appropriate and professional service to people because of their colour, culture or ethnic origin. It can be seen or detected in processes, attitudes and behaviour which amount to discrimination through unwitting prejudice, ignorance, thoughtlessness and racist stereotyping with disadvantage minority ethnic people.

The term ‘institutional racism’ has been in usage since the 1960s, but was highlighted in the UK by the 1999 Macpherson report, prompted by the racially motivated murder of Black teenager Stephen Lawrence in 1993 and the subsequent police and legal investigation. The then Home Secretary Jack Straw announced an independent inquiry into his death, conducted by the judge Sir William Macpherson. The Macpherson report made seventy recommendations to demonstrate commitment to ‘zero tolerance’ for racism in UK society and to enhance accountability for addressing racism, including in public sector spheres such as education.

The Macpherson report has prompted greater recognition of institutional racism in the UK. In particular, there has been an increase in research seeking to understand ‘everyday’ racism, both overt and subtle forms, that is happening within institutions, including the higher education sector, and how this can create or perpetuate inequalities between different ethnic groups. This has been reinforced by changes to legislation, such as the Equality Act 2010.

Intersectionality

An approach from critical race theory and Black feminism that recognises the way structures of inequality can create specific oppressions relating to the synergy of more than one aspect of identity. It refers to situations of discrimination where, for example, a Black woman experiences discrimination where a Black man, or a White woman, does not.
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Intersectional discrimination arises from the synergy of categories of difference such as race, gender, religion or belief, caste, sexuality, disability or socioeconomic background, rather than being additive or cumulative (as in cases of multiple discrimination). It goes beyond identity to highlight the social, economic and political structures that produce discrimination for groups at the cusps of the protected characteristics.

**Islamophobia**

Offensive actions or statements fuelled by prejudice or stereotyping of Muslims.

**Person of colour**

Someone who does not consider themselves to be White. The phrase originated in America and is now in popular usage within the UK, although less commonly by public bodies.

The term has similar limitations to the term ‘BAME’ with regards to homogenising a large, diverse group of people and failing to account for the significant differences between ethnic groups.

**Race**

‘Race’ is a social construct. Its changing manifestations reflect ideological attempts to legitimate domination in different social and historical contexts. Racism is therefore not about objective, measurable physical and social characteristics, but about relationships of domination and subordination. This can be demonstrated by the fact that the same individual can be racialised differently in different countries and cultures.

Evidence has shown that the genetic differences within ethnic groups are greater than those between different ethnic groups, and there is therefore no genetic or biological basis for defining race.

Many put the word ‘race’ in inverted commas to emphasise the fact that it is a social construct. While agreeing that race is socially constructed, UUK has chosen not to do so in this publication, on the grounds that race is real in the lived experience of Black, Asian and minority ethnic people.
‘Race’ as a protected characteristic
As defined by the Equality Act 2010, the protected characteristic of race includes colour, nationality, and ethnic or national origins xviii, xix.

Race-based hate crime
In England and Wales, the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) defines hate crime as someone being hostile to another person because of their protected characteristic (including race) and showing this hostility by intimidation, harassment, damaging property, or violence xx. Such crimes motivated on the grounds of race would therefore be race-based hate crime. In Scotland, race hate crime is defined as any offence committed when there has been aggravation based on racial prejudice xxi, xxii. In Northern Ireland, although not legally defined, ‘hate crime’ is generally used to describe criminal offences which are motivated by hostility or bias on the basis of race, religion (including sectarianism), sexual orientation, transgender or disability xxiii.

Race-based hate incident
A hate incident is any action motivated by hostility or prejudice based on protected characteristics, including race. When hate incidents are criminal offences, they become hate crimes.

In England and Wales, the police and CPS state that something should be reported as a hate incident if the victim (or anyone else present, such as a bystander) believes it to be so xxiv. This is sometimes known as the ‘Macpherson definition’, after the definition of racist incident used in the 1999 Macpherson report (see also definition of ‘institutional racism’). Similarly, in Northern Ireland the Public Prosecution Service state that something should be reported as a hate incident if the victim perceives this to be the case xxv.

In Scotland, at least one other independent source of evidence is needed to support the claim that an incident is a hate incident. The victim themselves cannot be the independent source of evidence xxvi.

Racial microaggressions
Everyday, subtle and insidious forms and acts of racism that send a denigrating message to those who belong to racially minoritized groups xxvii. Microaggressions are likely to be less blatant than more overt forms of racism or racial harassment, and the perpetrator may often be oblivious to the offence they have caused.
Racial microaggressions may not be witnessed by others and, if they are, may not be recognized as such by bystanders.

While individual microaggressive acts may not always meet the Equality Act 2010 definition of harassment, they could lead to behaviour which does meet the definition through repetition or escalation of the behaviour. Microaggressive acts may often have a cumulative effect on those experiencing them.

Further discussion of racial microaggressions can be found at Annexe C.

**Reporting party**
A student or member of staff who has made a disclosure or report.

**Responding party**
A staff member or student who has a disclosure or report made against them.

**White privilege**
White privilege can be defined as the inherent advantages possessed by a White person on the basis of their race in a society characterized by racial inequality and injustice.

Peggy McIntosh’s 1988 essay ‘Unpacking the invisible knapsack’ provides a metaphor of white privilege as an invisible rucksack, which White people carry, providing them with mostly hidden advantages in a society where racism is prevalent. This is in opposition to the detriments experienced by those who are the objects of racism. Her essay includes numerous examples of white privilege, including: representation of your ethnic background in media and positions of power, not fearing that your personal achievements will be ascribed by others as due to special treatment on the grounds of ethnicity, and an assumption that you will not suffer unfair treatment from authorities as a result of your race.

The concept of white privilege also implies that being White is seen as ‘normal’ or the default in society, while others are ‘different’ and othered. This can be seen in use of the term ‘non-white’ to describe individuals from minority ethnic backgrounds, for example.

White privilege does not mean that all White people are privileged in all respects, but that race is not a factor that will systemically disadvantage or hinder them in a racist society.

Related terms include:
White fragility

The state in which even a minimum amount of racial stress becomes intolerable to a White person, triggering a range of defensive moves. These moves include the outward display of emotions such as anger, fear, and guilt, and behaviors such as argumentation, silence, and leaving the stress-inducing situation. These behaviors, in turn, function to reinstate white racial equilibrium.

White complicity

The way in which White people may contribute to systems that maintain and perpetuate racism, even when they may consider themselves to be non-racist.

Annexe C: Racial harassment and racial microaggressions

As defined by the Equality Act 2010, harassment is unwanted conduct related to a relevant protected characteristic, including race, that has the ‘purpose or effect’ of either violating dignity, or creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment.

Harassment connected to a protected characteristic, including race, is unlawful in civil law.

Overt forms of racial harassment can include physical, verbal and, non-verbal harassment. The EHRC report sadly found many examples of staff and students at UK universities experiencing these forms of harassment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Example of ‘overt’ forms of racial harassment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>Intimidating gestures, acts that fit into racist tropes, physical violence or assault, unprovoked assaults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-verbal</td>
<td>Racist graffiti, defacing notices or posters, negative stereotyping of ethnic groups, written threats of a racial nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal</td>
<td>Derogatory remarks about a person’s skin colour or appearance, unwelcome remarks reflecting racist stereotypes, racist jokes and ‘needling’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, evidence from the EHRC indicates that many in higher education failed to also recognise the everyday, subtle and insidious forms and acts of racism, known as microaggressions, as a form of racial harassment. Microaggressions are defined by Rollock as ‘brief, everyday interactions that send denigrating messages to people of colour because they belong to a racially minoritised group. Compared to more overt forms of racism, racial microaggressions are subtle and
insidious, often leaving the victim confused, distressed and frustrated and the perpetrator oblivious of the offense they have caused.\textsuperscript{xxxv}

Racial microaggressions may constitute harassment under the terms of the Equality Act 2010. Even those microaggressive acts that do not constitute harassment, could lead to behaviour which does meet the definition through repetition or escalation of the behaviour.

A key recommendation by the EHRC in its 2019 investigation is to prevent misunderstanding by students and staff, particularly in relation to understanding racial microaggressions. An understanding of both overt and subtle forms of racism is key to developing robust and effective practice in addressing harassment. It will enable universities to move beyond a narrow and unsophisticated version of racism in overt forms, and help to shift thinking to understand that everyday racial harassment is a lived reality for many staff and students, carrying equal implications on mental health and wellbeing as much as the ‘overt’ forms of racial harassment.

Sue et al suggest that racial microaggressions appear in three forms\textsuperscript{xxxvi}:

(1) **Microassaults** are explicit racial derogations characterised by a verbal or nonverbal attack, meant to hurt the intended victim through name-calling, avoidant behaviour, or purposeful discriminatory actions.

(2) **Microinsults** are characterised by communications that convey rudeness and insensitivity, and demean a person’s racial heritage or identity. Subtle snubs that are frequently unknown to the perpetrator, but clearly convey a hidden insulting message to the recipient.

(3) **Microinvalidations** are characterised by communications that exclude, negate or nullify the psychological thoughts, feelings or experiential reality of a person of colour.

Not all comments or behaviours experienced as microaggressions will fit neatly into a category described here. In all instances, the impact of a certain behaviour on the person experiencing it is key, and it must be for that individual to define their experience.

Some examples of racial microaggressions, adapted from the research of Sue et al and Rollock, are listed below. These examples are by no means exhaustive and are not intended to provide a list of ‘things not to say’, but rather to illustrate that even comments which may appear banal may serve to exclude, demean, or offend others. Readers are encouraged to reflect on these examples and consider the possible implications of the language we use.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Example of racial microaggressions</th>
<th>Possible implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Alien in own land: Belief that visibly ethnic minority citizens are foreigners | Where are you from? Where are you really from?  
Where were you born?  
You speak good English.  
Asking a BAME person to teach a white person words in their ‘native’ language | You must be an outsider  
Only White people can be British                                                      |
| Ascription of intelligence: Assigning a degree of intelligence to a person of colour based on their race | You are a credit to your race  
You are so articulate                                                               | It is unusual for someone of your ethnic background to be so intelligent               |
| Colour blindness: Denial or pretense that a White person does not see colour or race. | When I look at you, I don’t see colour  
When you come to the UK, you have to follow our rules.                                   | I do not understand or empathise with the specific difficulties you experience  
I am not interested in your experience as a racial/cultural being                      |
| Assumption of criminal status: A personal of colour is presumed to be dangerous, criminal, or deviant on the basis of their race | A White person avoiding sitting next to a person of colour                                      | People from certain backgrounds are inferior  
You are a criminal                                                                       |
| Denial of individual racism: Denial of personal racism or one’s role in its perpetuation. | I’m not racist. I have several Black friends.  
As a woman, I know what you go through as a racial minority.                 | I can’t be racist/I'm immune from racism  
I’m just like you, our experience is the same                                             |
| Myth of meritocracy: Statements which assert that race does not play a role in life successes | I believe the most qualified person should get the job.  
Everyone can succeed, if they work hard enough.  
Exclusion of a BAME staff member in key meetings or seminars  
Exclusion of a BAME staff member in recruitment processes | You got this job because of quotas/positive action, you don’t really deserve it  
The problem is with you, not anyone or anything else  
I do not think you can make a valuable contribution                                  |
| Pathologizing cultural values/communication styles: Notion that the values and communication styles of people of colour are abnormal. | Dismissing an individual who brings up race/culture in work/teaching setting | The way you do things is wrong
Leaving your cultural baggage out of this |
|---|---|---|
| Second-class citizen: Treated as a lesser person or group | BAME person mistaken for a service worker
Being ignored as attention is given to the white person | People from certain ethnic backgrounds cannot be in high-ranking positions
I am not interested in the views of people who are not like me |
| Environmental microaggressions: Macro-level microaggressions which are more apparent on systemic and environmental levels | A university with buildings that are all named after White people
Over-representation of white students and staff in publicity about the university | Only certain kinds of people are valued here. You don’t belong
We don’t recognise the contributions that BAME colleagues make |

Bearing in mind the importance of language in contributing to a sense of being welcome and belonging, one emerging area of interest with possible relevance to higher education is the use of micro-affirmations. Contrary to microaggressions, micro-affirmations are defined by Rowe as ‘small acts in the workplace fostering inclusion, listening, comfort, and support for people who may feel unwelcome or invisible in an environment’\(^{xxxvii}\). Powell et al argue that, within the context of higher education, micro-affirmations can communicate to students that they are ‘welcome, visible, and capable of performing well in the college environment.’\(^{xxxviii}\)

Micro-affirmations can have value both when students are doing well and experiencing challenges. It is suggested that the use of micro-affirmations may actually be particularly effective in encouraging those to use and build on existing strengths to respond to new challenges. Examples of micro-affirmations cited by Powell et al include\(^{xxxix}\):

- “I see you are making progress in this area ... excellent work.”
- “I am concerned about you. Come visit me in office hours to talk more about this.”
- “I know this is difficult news...”
“Have you thought about using this campus resource (e.g. the learning centre, counselling and wellness services, the writing centre)? Many successful students utilize this campus resource.”

“I can tell that you are very outgoing/intellectually driven/social; have you considered participating in this opportunity/program ...?”

“I see that you feel good about this, and I believe you should be proud of what you have accomplished thus far.”

Annexe D: Policy and legislative context

This section outlines legal and public policy frameworks relevant to racial harassment as they apply across England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland to provide an understanding of these contexts for universities in each nation.

Legislative frameworks

The Equality Act 2010\(^x\) applies in England, Scotland and Wales. It was introduced in 2010 to legally protect people from discrimination in the workplace and wider society, consolidating several previous Acts (including the Race Relations Act 1976). A key provision of the Equality Act 2010 is the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED). This requires all public bodies, including publicly-funded universities, to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations between different people when carrying out their activities.

In addition to this general duty, the Act allows for additional specific PSED duties to be set in both Scotland and Wales.

In Wales, a number of additional provisions apply to higher education institutions, including the following on equality objectives\(^x\):

- The need to prepare and publish its equality objectives at least every four years from the first date of publication;

- The need to publish objectives to meet the general duty. If an authority does not have an objective for each protected characteristic – in addition to any objective to address pay differences – it must publish reasons why not;

- The need to publish a statement setting out the steps it has taken (or intends to take to meet the objectives) and how long it expects to take to meet each objective;

- The need to make appropriate arrangements to monitor progress towards meeting its objectives and to monitor the effectiveness of its approach; and
• The need to give appropriate consideration to relevant equality information it holds when considering what its equality objectives should be.

Universities must also have due regard to the need to have objectives to address the causes of any pay differences that seem reasonably likely to be related to any of the protected characteristics, and publish an equality objective to address any gender pay gap identified (or else publish reasons why it has not done so).

There are a number of additional provisions in Scotland. Those that apply to higher education institutions and colleges include:

• The need to report on progress of mainstreaming the general duty into all functions every two years;
• The need to publish and deliver a set of equality outcomes that cover all protected characteristics (or explain why not all protected characteristics are covered) every four years;
• The need to assess the impact of new and revised policies and practices against the needs of the general duty on an ongoing basis;
• The need to gather information on the protected characteristics of employees and publish every two years, as part of mainstreaming reports if not published elsewhere;
• The need to publish board diversity information as part of mainstreaming reports, including the gender breakdown of governing body or board members and how this information has/will be used to improve diversity amongst members;
• The need to publish gender pay gap information every two years, and publish statements on equal pay and occupational segregation for gender, race and disability every four years;
• The need to have due regard to the general duty in specified procurement practices on an ongoing basis; and
• The need to publish the above information in a manner that is accessible.

The Scottish Funding Council has signed a Memorandum of Understanding with EHRC Scotland to transfer some responsibilities for equalities monitoring that formerly sat with the EHRC.

Responsibility for anti-discrimination legislation is devolved to the Northern Ireland Assembly by the UK Government. There is no single Act of law equivalent to the Equality Act considering all forms of discrimination, although Section 75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998 contains some provisions similar to the PSED.

Under this Act, public authorities must have regard to the desirability of promoting good relations between persons of different racial groups, religious belief, and several other protected categories. Harassment and discrimination on the grounds of race, colour, ethnic or national origins, or ethnicity are prohibited by
the Race Relations (NI) Order 1997. The Fair Employment and Treatment (NI) Order 1998, which provides for a statutory duty to promote fair participation in employment, may also have relevance to racial harassment\textsuperscript{xlviii}. 

**Wider policy contexts**

**UK-wide**

A number of areas relating to racial inequalities have been examined by the UK Government in recent years. The Race Disparity Unit was established by the Prime Minister in 2016. In 2017, the Unit published the Race Disparity Audit\textsuperscript{xlv}, examining racial inequalities in various areas of UK life, including education. Information about the experiences and outcomes of those from different ethnic groups can be found via the UK government’s Ethnicity Facts and Figures service\textsuperscript{xlvii}.

In 2020, in response to the Covid-19 pandemic and protests sparked by the Black Lives Matter movement, the UK Government has established an independent Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities. This will examine inequalities within the UK, focusing on areas including poverty, education, employment, health, and the criminal justice system. The Commission will submit its findings to the Prime Minister by the end of 2020\textsuperscript{xlvii}.

**England**

The Home Office’s Hate Crime Action Plan (2016) \textsuperscript{xlviii} applies to England and Wales and outlines the UK government’s commitment to tackling hate crime, including on the basis of race. The Action Plan was published in 2016 to apply until May 2020; however, many of the actions in the plan continue to apply after this date, and further details on the Home Office’s next steps are forthcoming. Statistics on hate crimes reported to the police in England and Wales are reported annually\textsuperscript{lix}.

In response to UUK’s 2016 *Changing the culture* report, the Office for Students (then as the Higher Education Funding Council for England) provided a £4.7million Catalyst fund to support 119 projects safeguarding students in English higher education institutions, with a specific focus on addressing sexual harassment, online harassment and hate crime. Advance HE was commissioned to provide an evaluation of these projects\textsuperscript{l}. 

English institutions should note the Office for Students’ proposed statement of expectations (currently under consultation) for addressing harassment and sexual misconduct in higher education\textsuperscript{ll}. Under current proposals, adherence to this statement will form part of an institution’s conditions of registration.
Wales

In Wales, listed public authorities are required to produce a Strategic Equality Plan. The Welsh Government has published its Strategic Equality Action Plan for 2020 to 2024, including its long-term aim to eliminate harassment, hate crime and discrimination on the ground of protected characteristics including race.

The Higher Education Funding Council for Wales (HEFCW) has published a draft Strategic Equality Action Plan for the same period, drawing on the Welsh Government plan to outline its own plans to advance equality in higher education in Wales, including eliminating harassment.

Welsh universities publish their own Strategic Equality Plans (SEP) as per their listed status. Institutions must prepare and publish SEPs at least every four years, publish objectives to meet the general duty, make appropriate arrangements to monitor progress and give consideration to relevant equality information it holds.

Universities in Wales will have published their 2020-24 Strategic Equality Plans by October 2020 and have made these publicly available.

Scotland

The Scottish government Race Equality Framework for Scotland 2016 to 2030 sets out a strategic approach to promoting racial equality and tackling racism and inequalities. It describes six ‘visions’ of its intended outcomes, including community cohesion, participation in public life, and inclusive educational opportunities for all. The Race Equality Framework is accompanied by the Race Equality Action Plan, detailing 120 actions for how this will be achieved.

The Hate Crime and Public Order (Scotland) Bill was introduced to Holyrood in April 2020. It seeks to modernize, consolidate, and extend existing hate crime legislation into one Act. One of the purposes of the Bill, as described by the Scottish Government, is to ensure that crimes motivated by prejudice will be treated more seriously and will not be tolerated by society. The Bill has been created to make this clear to victims, those who commit hate crimes, and the wider society.


Universities Scotland is reviewing the 2016 UUK-Pinsent Masons guidance on student misconduct that may also constitute a criminal offence to take greater account of the Scottish legislative and policy context, and to ensure it is trauma-informed. Racially motivated incidents are within the scope of this review.
Northern Ireland

The Criminal Justice (No. 2) (Northern Ireland) Order 2004 enables a sentence to be increased where it is proven that the offence for which a person is convicted was motivated against a protected characteristic. However, no specific offence of ‘hate crime’ exists in Northern Irish law. An independent review of hate crime legislation in Northern Ireland, commissioned by the Department of Justice, is currently underway.\textsuperscript{lvii}

In 2018/19, 37% of hate crime cases submitted to the Public Prosecution Service by the Police Service of Northern Ireland were racist hate incidents.\textsuperscript{lviii} Although this proportion is lower than in the other nations of the UK, this should be taken in the context that the proportion of those from minority ethnic backgrounds in Northern Ireland is significantly lower than other nations of the UK.\textsuperscript{lix} Racially motivated hate crimes are also lower as a proportion of total hate crimes due to the additional category of sectarian hate crime in Northern Ireland.

Annexe E: Complaints handling and redress for staff and students

The table below sets out concerns relating to managing complaints, raised by staff and students in the EHRC inquiry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Mismatch between how universities perceive they were addressing complaints and the views of those reporting a complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Institutional policies and procedures not always adhering to sector models and ACAS guidance on managing complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lack of training for staff to respond effectively to complaints and investigations such as training to understand how power dynamics could influence these processes, and to understand trauma informed investigations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Staff managing informal complaints (outside student and staff complaint specialists) did not always have an understanding, and the skills, to manage conversations about race, which could result in poorly handled complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lack of advice and support for both reporting and responding parties when making a complaint; this included what happened when a complaint was made, and the personal implications of doing this. This was critical in terms of managing expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lack of feedback given to both reporting and responding parties on the progress and outcome of a complaint. This also applied to the outcomes and any sanctions from disciplinary proceedings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Dissatisfaction by reporting and responding parties on the thoroughness and independence of the complaints and investigations process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The length of time for resolving complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Limited feedback sought by universities on the effectiveness of institutional complaints processes, and therefore limiting potential to improve the process</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Models and frameworks for handling student complaints

Frameworks for handling student complaints are set out below:

- Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) in England and Wales,
- the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO)
- the Northern Ireland Public Services Ombudsman (NIPSO)

Where a complaint refers to misconduct which could also constitute a criminal offence, universities should refer to the 2016 UUK/Pinsent-Masons guidance\(^x\). This guidance refers to all forms of misconduct. The guidance is currently under review by Universities Scotland. This includes recognising the potential for trauma in a student who has experienced racism/microaggressions; developing a trauma-informed approach and exploring how to dismantle some of the structural whiteness embedded in higher education systems and processes\(^{xi}\).

Guidance on handling complaints relating to racial harassment

As employers, universities in Great Britain should follow the Advisory, Conciliation and Arbitration Service (ACAS) Codes of Practice on good employment relations practice in terms of complaints relating to racial harassment. This includes the code of practice on disciplinary and grievance procedures and the non-statutory guidance which accompanies the code. The code sets out the minimum procedures an employer should follow in handling these issues. While it is not a freestanding legal obligation to follow the code, if a case reaches the employment tribunal, it will take into account the procedure that has been followed and may apply an uplift to any compensation awarded if the employer has not complied with the ACAS Code.

Universities should also refer to the EHRC Sexual harassment and harassment at work: technical guidance which sets out information on preventing and responding to harassment with reference to informal and formal resolution, conducting investigations and reporting outcomes and data protection.

In Northern Ireland, the Labour Relations Agency (LRA) has published codes of practice on disciplinary and grievance procedures.

These frameworks are clear on the importance of understanding what outcome or redress is being sought by an individual raising a complaint and this is an important consideration for ensuring that those who do raise a complaint, feel a sense of justice. Notwithstanding this, universities will also be aware that not everyone will be able to articulate the redress they would like; rather the emphasis may be on ensuring that the university is aware of the issue/behaviour; and acknowledging it and, where appropriate, acts upon it.
For some complaints, formal resolution will be the most appropriate way to respond. There may, however, be occasions when it is possible to resolve the concern to the satisfaction of the student or staff member who experienced the harassment (ie the reporting party) by using less formal routes, including mediation and local resolution, both of which can also form part of an educative approach. Successful informal action can also be beneficial in resolving issues quickly.

Redress for staff or students experiencing harassment may include an apology, recognition of offence caused, remedial action to prevent future incidents (at either an individual or institutional level), disciplinary action against the responding party, dismissal or expulsion from a university course, or criminal or a legal remedy.

There are a range of sanctions available to universities where a complaint is upheld. These should be transparent to staff and students. This can include a formal written warning, as well as a range of disciplinary options. Educative measures may also support the responding party’s learning and reduce the likelihood of repeated incidents.
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Annexe H: Further information, and resources

Nationwide support services for those experiencing racial harassment and hate crime

- Community Security Trust, support for victims of antisemitism [https://cst.org.uk/]
- TELL MAMA, supporting victims of Islamophobia and anti-Muslim hate [https://tellmamauk.org/]
- True Vision, police-funded website providing information about racism and hate crime, include self-reporting and information pack, as well as online reporting facilities [www.report-it.org.uk]
- Victim Support England and Wales [https://www.victimsupport.org.uk/]
- Victim Support Northern Ireland [https://www.victimsupportni.com/help-for-victims/hate-crime/]
• Victim Support Scotland  https://victimsupport.scot/

Resources to support universities in addressing racism and racial harassment


• Business in the Community, Race at work charter: https://www.bitc.org.uk/race/


• Race Equality Charter good practice database: https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/charters/rec-athena-swan-initiatives

• Scottish Association of Minority Ethnic Educators research and resources guide: https://www.samee.org.uk/policies-and-research

Examples of campaigns

• Keele University documentary, ‘An everyday dimension of racism’ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OCBJZQrqXGO

• ‘Re:Tension’ film, Ricardo Barker https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dUKnwlbloVU&feature=youtu.be

• University and Colleges Union: Witness
Further information on racial inequalities in higher education


- **Ethnicity pay gaps:** Universities and Colleges Employers Association (2018) *Caught at the crossroads? An intersectional approach to gender and ethnicity pay gaps in HE* available at: [https://uceastorage.blob.core.windows.net/ucea/download.cfm/docid/caught_at_the_crossroads.pdf](https://uceastorage.blob.core.windows.net/ucea/download.cfm/docid/caught_at_the_crossroads.pdf)
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Equality Act 2010 S9

The Equality Act definition of race does not explicitly cover caste, and the UK government has not exercised a power under the Act which would allow it to make regulations including caste within the definition. However, it has been held by the Employment Appeal Tribunal, that caste in many of its forms may come within the ‘ethnic origins’ aspect of the definition, depending on the circumstances. (Chandhok & Anor v Turkey UKEAT/0190/14/KN). In any case, caste discrimination is wrong and should not be tolerated.

See https://www.cps.gov.uk/crime-info/hate-crime


The Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal Service (COPFS) specifically define hate crime as any charge of racially aggravated harassment and behaviour in terms of Section 50A of the Criminal Law (Consolidation) (Scotland) Act 1995 or Section 18, 19 or 23(1)a of the Public Order Act 1986, or any racial aggravation in terms of Section 96 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998.

See https://www.ppsni.gov.uk/hate-crime


Oxford Dictionary


Table adapted from the University of Western Australia, available at: https://www.hr.uwa.edu.au/policies/policies/conduct/racial-harassment
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